Dieses Modul ersetzt das alte deutsche Modul "[Elektrische Leitfähigkeit](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw2_ge/kap_2/illustr/r2_1_1.html)*", das unter "Illustrations" aber immer noch verfügbar ist. Es bestehen aber wesentliche Unterschiede zwischen dem neuen und dem alten Modul!*

Ein neues Modul in deutscher Sprache, das in etwa die Inhalte wiedergibt, ist in einem anderen [Hyperskript](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw_for_et/kap_8/backbone/r8_1_1.html) verfügbar

Wer mit dem Inhalt (egal ob in Deutsch oder in Englisch) Probleme hat, sollte noch die beiden deutschen Module ["Temperatur, Gleichverteilungssatz etc. - die Grundlagen"](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw2_ge/kap_2/basics/t2_1_1.html) und ["Beweglichkeit und Diffusion"](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw2_ge/kap_2/illustr/t2_1_1.html) zu Rate ziehen oder gleich die Essenz der Thermodynamik in einem anderen [Hyperskript](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw_for_et/kap_5/backbone/r5.html) nacharbeiten

2. Elektronen in Festkörpern

2.1 Klassische Betrachtung

2.1.1 Ohm's Law and Materials' Properties

In this subchapter we will give an outline of how to progress from the simple version of **Ohm's "Law"** , which is a kind of "electrical" definition for a black box, to a formulation of the same law from a *materials point of view* employing (almost) first principles.

- In other words: The *electrical engineering* point of view is: If a "black box" exhibits a linear relation between the (*dc*) current *I* flowing through it and the voltage *U* applied to it, it is an **ohmic resistor**.
- That is illustrated in the picture: As long as the voltage-current characteristic you measure between two terminals of the black box is linear, the black box is called an (ohmic) resistor.
- Neither the slope of the *I-U*-characteristics matters, nor what's in the box or what materials are involved.

The *Materials Science* point of view is quite different, it is essentially the reverse of the electrical engineering point of view. Taken to the extreme, the Materials Science point of view is simply:

Tell me what kind of material is in the black box, and I tell you:

- 1. If it really is an *ohmic* resistor, i.e. if the current relates *linearly* to the voltage for reasonable voltages and *both* polarities.
- 2. What its (specific) resistance will be, including its temperature dependence.
- 3. And everything else of interest.

In what follows we will see, what we have to do for this approach. We will proceed in **3** steps.

- In step *one* and *two* , contained in this sub-chapter we simply reformulate *Ohm's law* in physical quantities that are related to material properties.
- In other words, we look at the properties of the moving charges that produce an electrical current. But we only *define* the necessary quantities; we do not calculate their numerical values from *basic* principles. We will, however, calculate some numbers, based on experimental input.
- In the *third* step which is the content of many chapters we will find ways to actually *calculate* (some of) the important quantities, in particular for semiconductors.

As it will turn out, this is not just difficult with classical physics, but simply *impossible*. We will need a good dose of quantum mechanics and statistical thermodynamics to get results.

1. Step: Move to *specific* **quantities**

First we switch from current *I* and voltage *U* to the **current density** *j* and the **field strength** *E* , which are not only independent of the (uninteresting) size and shape of the body, but, since they are *[vectors](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw1_ge/kap_3/basics/m3_1_1.html)*, carry far more information about the system of interest.

This is easily seen in the schematic drawing below.

*Current density j*and *field strength E* may depend on the coordinates, because *U* (taken as the local potential) and *I* depend on the coordinates, e.g. in the way schematically shown in the picture to the left. However, for a homogeneous material with constant cross section, we may write

with *F***=** cross sectional area of the reference plane considered. The direction of the *vector j* is parallel to the normal vector *f* of the reference area considered; it also may differ locally. So in full splendor we must write

The "global" field strength is

With *l***=** length of the body. If we want the *local* field strength *E***(***x***,***y***,***z***)** as a vector, we have, in principle, to calculate the gradient of the potential *V*:

For a homogeneous material with constant cross section, however, *E* is parallel to *f* and constant everywhere, again something that is clear without calculation.

In order to make things easy, we realize that for a homogenous material of length *l* with constant cross-sectional area *F*, the field strength *E* and the current density *j* do not depend on position - they have the same numerical value everywhere.

For this case we can now write down Ohm's law with the new quantities and obtain

$$
j \cdot F = I = \frac{1}{R} \cdot U = \frac{1}{R} \cdot I
$$

$$
i = \frac{I}{F \cdot R}
$$

The fraction *l***/** *F* **·** *R obviously* (think about it!) has the *same numerical value* for *any* homogeneous cube (or homogeneous whatever) of a given material; it is, of course, the **specific conductivity σ**

- In the equation above we have also defined the **specific resistivity** *ρ* with the unit **[ρ]=Ωm**, or more frequently used - **Ωcm**.
- The *specific resistivity* obtained in this way is necessarily identical to what you would define as specific resistivity by looking at some rectangular body with cross-sectional area *F* and length *l* . You would assume that *R* is proprotional to *l*, and inversely proportional to *F*, and the proportionality constant you would call "specific resistivity".
- A **1 cm3** cube of homogeneous material having the specific resistivity **ρ** has the resistance *R***=ρ**, if **ρ** is given in **Ωcm**.
- Of course, we will never mix up the *specific resistivity* **ρ** with the *charge density* **ρ** or *general densities* **ρ**, because we know from the context what is meant!
- The *specific conductivity* has the dimension **[σ]=Ω–1cm–1** and is the quantity one uses if looking at conduction mechanisms etc.
- The *specific resistivity* is more prominent in technological terms and for charcaterizing materials and you should at least have a feeling for some representative numbers by remembering:

```
ρ (metal) ≈ 2 µΩ cm
ρ (semicoductor) ≈ 1 Ωcm
  ρ (insulator) ≈ 1 GΩcm
```
Restricting ourselves to isotropic and homogenoeus materials, restricts **σ** and **ρ** to being *scalars* with the *same numerical value* everywhere, and Ohm's law now can be formulated for any material with weird shapes and being quite inhomogeneous; we "simply" have

Ohm's law in this *vector form* is now valid at *any point* of a body, since we do not have to make assumptions about the shape of the body.

To appreciate this, take an arbitrarily shaped body with current flowing through it, cut out a little cube (with your "mathematical" knife) at the coordinates **(***x,y,z***)** without changing the flow of current, and you *must* find that the local current density and the local field strength obey the equation given above *locally*.

Of course, obtaining the external current *I* flowing for the external voltage *U* now needs summing up the contributions of all the little cubes, i.e. integration over the whole volume, which may not be an easy thing to do.

Still, we have now a much more powerful version of Ohm's law! But we should now harbor a certain suspicion:

There is no good reason why *j* must always be *parallel* to *E*. This means that for the most general case **σ** is not a *scalar* quantity, but a *[tensor](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw1_ge/kap_7/backbone/r7_2_1.html)*; **σ= σij**.

(There is no good way to write tensors in html; we use the ij index to indicate tensor properties.

Ohm's law then writes

 $j_{x} = \sigma_{xx} \cdot E_{x} + \sigma_{xy} \cdot E_{y} + \sigma_{xz} \cdot E_{z}$ *j***y= σyx ·** *E***x+ σyy ·** *E***y + σyz·** *E***z** *j***z=σzx·** *E***x + σzy ·** *E***y + σzz ·** *E***z**

For anisotropic inhomogeneous materials you have to take the tensor, and its components will all depend on the coordinates - that is the most general version of Ohm's law.

Note that this is *not* so general as to be meaningless: We still have the basic property of Ohm's law: The local current density is directly proprotional to the local field strength (and not, for example, to **exp– [const. ·** *E***]**).

Our goal now is to find a relation that allows to calculate **σij** for a given material (or material composite); i.e. we are looking for

σij=σij (material, temperature, pressure, defects...)

2. Step: Describe σij in Terms of the Carrier Properties

Electrical current needs *charged* and *mobile* "things", or charge *carriers* that are *mobile*. Note that we do not automatically assume that the charged "things" are *always* electrons. *Anything* charged and mobile will do.

- What we want to do now is to express **σ ij** in terms of the *properties* of the carriers present in the material under investigation.
	- To do this, we will express an electrical current as a "mechanical" stream or current of (charged) particles, and compare the result we get with Ohm's law. If you have problems visualizing this, check this **Basic module**.
- First, lets define an electrical current in a wire in terms of the carriers flowing through that wire. There are *three* crucial points to consider:

1. The external electrical current as measured in an Ampèremeter is the result of the *net* current flow through any cross section of an (uniform) wire.

In other words, the measured current is proportional to the *difference* of the number of carriers of the same charge sign moving from the *left to right* through a given cross sectional area *minus* the number of carriers moving from the *right to the left*.

In short: the *net* current is the difference of two *partial* currents flowing in opposite directions:

Do not take this point as something simple! We will encounter cases where we have to sum up **8** partial currents to arrive at the externally flowing current, so keep this in mind!

2. In summing up the individual current contributions, *make sure the signs are correct*. The rule is simple:

The *electrical* current is (for historical reasons) defined as flowing from the **+** pole to the **–** pole. For a *particle* current this means:

In words: A technical current *I* flowing from **+** to **–** may be obtained by *negatively* charged carriers flowing in the *opposite* direction (from **–** to **+**), by *positively* charged carriers flowing in the *same* direction, or from both kinds of carriers flowing at the same time in the proper directions.

The particle currents of *differently* charged particles then must be *added*! Conversely, if negatively charged carriers flow in the same directions as positively charged carriers, the value of the partial current flowing in the "wrong" direction must be subtracted to obtain the external current.

3. The flow of particles through a reference surface as symbolized by one of arrows above, say the arrow in the **+***x* direction, must be seen as an *average* over the *x* **-**component of the velocity of the individual particles in the wire.

Instead of *one* arrow, we must consider as many arrows as there are particles and take their *average*. A more detailed picture of a wire at *a given instant* thus looks like this

- An instant later it looks entirely different *in detail* , but exactly the same *on average*!
- If we want to obtain the net flow of *particles* through the wire (which is obviously proportional to the net *current* flow), we could take the average of the velocity components $\langle \mathbf{v}_{+x} \rangle$ pointing in the $+x$ direction (to the right) on the left hand side, and subtract from this the average **< v–x>** of the velocity components pointing in the **–***x* direction (to the left) on the right hand side.
- We call this *difference* in velocities the **drift velocity** v_D of the *ensemble* of carriers.
- If there is no driving force, e.g. an electrical field, the velocity vectors are randomly distributed and **< v+x>=< v–x>**; the drift velocity and thus net current is zero as it should be.

Average properties of ensembles can be a [bit tricky](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw2_ge/kap_2/basics/t2_1_1.html). Lets look at some properties by considering the analogy to a localized **swarm of summer flies** "circling" around like crazy, so that the ensemble looks like a small cloud of smoke. A more [detailed treatment](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw2_ge/kap_2/advanced/m2_1_1.html) can be found in the advanced section.

- First we notice that while the *individual* fly moves around quite fast, its *vector* velocity **v i** averaged over time *t*, **<vⁱ >t** , must be zero as long as the swarm as an ensemble doesn't move.
- In other words, the individual flies, *on average*, move just as often to the left as to the right, etc. The net current produced by *all* flies at any given instance [or](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw1_ge/kap_6/advanced/t6_3_1.html) by *one* individual fly after sufficient time is obviously zero for *any* reference surface.

In real life, however, the fly swarm "cloud" often moves *slowly* around - it has a finite *drift velocity* which must be just the difference between the average movement in drift direction minus the average movement in the opposite direction.

- The *drift velocity* thus can be identified as the proper average that gives the net current through a reference plane perpendicular to the direction of the drift velocity.
- This drift velocity is usually much smaller than the average magnitude of the velocity **<v>** of the individual flies. Its value is the difference of two large numbers - the average velocity of the *individual* flies in the drift direction minus the average velocity of the *individual* flies in the direction opposite to the drift direction.

Since we are only interested in the drift velocity of the ensemble of flies (or in our case, carriers) we may now simplify our picture as follows:

We now equate the *current density* with the *particle flux density* by the basic law of current flow:

Current density *j*=Number *N* of particles carrying the charge *q* flowing through the cross sectional area *F* (with the normal vector *f* and **|***f***|=1**) during the time interval *t*, or

$$
j = \frac{q \cdot N}{F \cdot t}
$$

If the charge *q* is negative (e.g. for electrons we have **q=–e**; **e**=elementary charge), the direction of the *electrical* current is opposite to the *particle* current, as it should be. In scalar notation, because the direction of the current flow is clear, we have

The problem with this formula is *N*, the number of carriers flowing through the cross section *F* every second.

- A *number N* of carriers is not a basic property of the material; we certainly would much prefer the carrier *density n***=***N* **/***V* of carriers. In going from numbers to densities, we have to chose the volume *V***=***F* **·** *l* in such a way that it contains just the right number *N* of carriers.
- Since the cross section *F* is given, this means that we have to pick the length *l* in such a way, that all carriers contained in that length of material will have moved across the internal interface after **1** second.
- This is easy! The trick is to give *l* just that particular length that allows *every* carrier in the defined portion of the wire to reach the reference plane, i.e.

$$
l = v_D \cdot t
$$

This makes sure that *all* carriers contained in this length, will have reached *F* after the time *t* has passed, and thus *all* carriers contained in the volume $V = F \cdot v_D \cdot t$ will contribute to the current density. We can now write the current equation as follows:

$$
j = \frac{q \cdot N}{F \cdot t} = \frac{q \cdot n \cdot V}{F \cdot t} = \frac{q \cdot n \cdot F \cdot I}{F \cdot t} = \frac{q \cdot n \cdot F \cdot v_D \cdot t}{F \cdot t}
$$

This was shown in excessive detail because now we have the *fundamental law of electrical conductivity* (in obvious vector form)

This is a very general equation relating a *particle current* (density) via its *drift velocity* to an *electrical current* (density) via the charge *q* carried by the particles.

Note that it does not matter at all, *why* an ensemble of charged particles moves on average. *You do not need an electrical field as driving force anymore*. If, for example, a concentration gradient induces a particle flow via *diffusion*, you have an electrical current too, if the particles are charged.

Note also that electrical current flow *without* an electrical field as primary driving force as outlined above is *not* some odd special case, but at the root of most electronic devices that are more sophisticated than a simple resistor.

Of course, if you have different particles numbered *i*, with different densities, drift velocities, and charges, you simply sum up the individual contributions as [pointed out above:](#page-3-0) *j***=Σⁱ (***q***i ·** *n***i · vi)**

All we have to do now is to compare our equation [from above](#page-2-0) to Ohm's law in its general form *from above*:

j **=** *q · n ·* **v^D := σ ·** *E*

We then obtain

σ = *q · n* **· v^D** *E* **:= constant**

If Ohm's law holds, **σ** must be a constant, and this implies *by necessity*

- This is a simple but far reaching equation, saying something about the driving force of electrical currents (=electrical field strength *E*) and the drift velocity of the particles in the material.
- What this means is that *if* **v** p /*E*=const. holds for *any* (reasonable) field *E*, the material will show ohmic behavior. *We have a first condition for ohmic behavior expressed in terms of material properties*.
- lf, however, v_D/E is constant (in time) for a *given* field, but with a value that depends on *E*, we have $σ = σ(E)$; the behavior will *not be ohmic*!

The requirement **v_D** E=const. for *any* electrical field thus requires a drift velocity in field direction for the particle, which is directly proportional to *E* . This leads to a simple conclusion:

- This is actually a rather strange result! A charged particle in an electrical field experiences a constant force, and Newtons first law tells us that this will induce a constant accelerations, i.e. its velocity should increase all the time! Its velocity therefore would grow to infinity - if there wouldn't be some kind of friction.
- We thus conclude that there *must* exist some mechanism that acts like a frictional force on all accelerated particles, and that this frictional force in the case of ohmic behavior must be in a form where *the average drift velocity obtained is proportional to the driving force*.

Since *v***_D**/*E*=constant must obtain for all (ohmic) materials under investigation, we may give it a name:

- The **mobility** μ of the carriers has the unit μ **=(m/s)/(V/m)=m²/V** · s.
- The *mobility* **µ** (Deutsch: **Beweglichkeit**) then is a *material constant*; it is determined by the "friction", i.e. the processes that determine the average velocity for carriers in different materials subjected to the same force $q \cdot E$.
- Friction, as we (should) know, is a rather *unspecified term*, but always describing energy transfer from some moving body to the environment.

Thinking ahead a little bit, we might realize that **µ** is a basic material constant *even in the absence of electrical fields*. Since it is tied to the "friction" a moving carrier experiences in its environment - the material under consideration - it simply expresses how fast carriers give up surplus energy to the lattice; and it must not matter how they got the surplus energy. It is therefore no suprise that **µ** pops up in all kinds of relations, e.g. in the famous [Einstein - Smoluchowski](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/semi_en/kap_2/backbone/r2_3_4.html) equation linking *[diffusion coefficients](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw1_ge/kap_6/backbone/r6_2_2.html)* and *mobility* of particles.

Thinking ahead a little bit more, we realize that the mobility of carriers is an extremely important material parameter because it describes "somehow" how quickly carriers can respond to an electrical field and therefore if they can follow rapidly changing electrical fields - e.g. in a micorpocessor running at **4 GHz**. Very large amounts of money are spend right now (**2006)** to increase the carrier mobility in **Si** - see the [link for a taste treat of what that means](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw2_ge/kap_2/advanced/t2_1_3.html).

We now can write down the *most general form of Ohm's law* applicable to all materials meeting the two requirements: *n***=const.** and **µ=const.** everywhere. It is expressed completely in particle (=material) properties.

- The task is now to calculate *n* and **µ** from first priciples, i.e. from only knowing what atoms we are dealing with in what kind of structure (e.g. crystal + crystal defects)
- This is a rather formidable task since **σ** variies over a extremely wide range, cf. a [short table](http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw2_ge/kap_2/illustr/i2_1_1.html) with some relevant numbers.
- In order to get acquainted with the new entity "mobility", we do a little exercise:

 $1)$ Slang (jiddish): to carry along with difficulty