Solution to Exercise 2.1-5 "Do the Math for Mixed Point Defects"

- For obvious reasons some of the symbols deviate a little from the symbols used in the text; e.g. we have hfp instead of Hfp.
- We start with the system of equations that came from the mass action law

$$\begin{split} c_{V}\left(C\right) \cdot c_{i}\left(C\right) &= \frac{N}{N} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{FP}}{kT}\right) \\ c_{V}\left(A\right) \cdot c_{V}\left(C\right) &= \exp\left(-\frac{h_{S}}{kT}\right) \\ c_{V}\left(C\right) &= c_{V}\left(A\right) + c_{i}\left(C\right) \end{split}$$

- We start with the calculation of $c_{V}(C)$:
 - Inserting the first and the second equation into the third equation yields:

$$\begin{split} c_{V}\left(C\right) &= \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{h_{S}}{kT}\right)}{c_{V}\left(C\right)} + \frac{\frac{N^{\prime}}{N} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{FP}}{kT}\right)}{c_{V}\left(C\right)} \\ c_{V}^{2}\left(C\right) &= \exp\left(-\frac{h_{S}}{kT}\right) + \frac{N^{\prime}}{N} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{FP}}{kT}\right) \\ c_{V}\left(C\right) &= \sqrt{\exp\left(-\frac{h_{S}}{kT}\right) + \frac{N^{\prime}}{N} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{FP}}{kT}\right)} \\ c_{V}\left(C\right) &= \sqrt{\exp\left(-\frac{h_{S}}{kT}\right) + \frac{N^{\prime}}{N} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{FP}}{kT}\right)} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{S}}{kT}\right) \cdot \exp\left(+\frac{h_{S}}{kT}\right)} \\ c_{V}\left(C\right) &= \exp\left(-\frac{h_{S}}{2kT}\right) \cdot \sqrt{1 + \frac{N^{\prime}}{N} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{h_{S} - h_{FP}}{kT}\right)}} \\ \end{split}$$

- That was the first equation for $c_V(C)$. Next we calculate $c_i(C)$.
 - Start with the third equation and eliminate $c_v(A)$ using the second. We have the final result after a series of mathematical manipulations:

$$\begin{split} c_i(C) &= c_V(C) - c_V(A) \\ c_i(C) &= c_V(C) - \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{h_S}{kT}\right)}{c_V(C)} \\ c_i(C) &= \frac{c_V^2(C) - \exp\left(-\frac{h_S}{kT}\right)}{c_V(C)} \\ c_i(C) &= \frac{1}{c_V(C)} \cdot \left\{ \left[\exp\left(-\frac{h_S}{kT}\right) + \frac{N'}{N} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{FP}}{kT}\right) \right] - \exp\left(-\frac{h_S}{kT}\right) \right\} \\ c_i(C) &= \frac{1}{c_V(C)} \cdot \frac{N'}{N} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{FP}}{kT}\right) \\ c_i(C) &= \frac{\frac{1}{N'} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{h_S}{2kT}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{FP}}{kT}\right)}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{N'}{N} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{h_S - h_{FP}}{kT}\right)}} \\ \end{cases}$$

- \mathbf{V} That was the third equation. Next we calculate $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{A})$.
 - Start with the third equation and eliminate ci(C) using the first, we obtain

$$c_V(A) = c_V(C) - c_i(C)$$

$$\begin{split} c_{V}\left(A\right) &= c_{V}(C) - \frac{N}{N} \cdot \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{h_{PP}}{kT}\right)}{c_{V}\left(C\right)} \\ c_{V}\left(A\right) &= \frac{c_{V}^{2}\left(C\right) - \frac{N}{N} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{PP}}{kT}\right)}{c_{V}\left(C\right)} \\ c_{V}\left(A\right) &= \frac{1}{c_{V}\left(C\right)} \cdot \left\{ \left[\exp\left(-\frac{h_{S}}{kT}\right) + \frac{N}{N} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{PP}}{kT}\right)\right] - \frac{N}{N} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{PP}}{kT}\right) \right\} \\ c_{V}\left(A\right) &= \frac{1}{c_{V}\left(C\right)} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{h_{S}}{kT}\right) \\ c_{V}\left(A\right) &= \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{h_{S}}{kT}\right)}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{N}{N} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{h_{S} - h_{PP}}{kT}\right)}} \\ \end{split}$$

That's it. Nothing to it. ;-)

- Well, not exactly. I myself certainly cannot solve problems like this without making some dumb mistakes in breaking down the math. Almost everybody does.
- However, I usually notice that I made a stupid mistake because the result just can't be true. And I can, if I really employ myself, get the right result eventually because I did some exercises like this before. And that is why you should do it, too!.
- As a last comment we may note that solving equations coming from the mass action law can become rather tedious very quickly compare the example in the link, which is about as simple as it could be.
- Now we look at the limiting cases of pure Schottky or pure Frenkel disorder.
 - For pure Frenkel disorder we must have $h_{FP} \ll h_{S}$, and $c_{V}(A) = 0$.
 - For pure Schottky disorder we must have hfp >> hs, and ci(C) = 0.
- For the first case pure Frenkel disorder just look at the expression

$$\left(1 + \frac{N}{N} \cdot \exp \frac{h_{S} - h_{FP}}{kT}\right)^{1/2}$$

For h_S >> h_{FP}, the exponential in this case is positive which means

$$\frac{N}{-} \cdot \exp \frac{h_{S} - h_{FP}}{kT} >> 1$$

So you may neglect the 1 in the above expression and replace the whole square root by

$$\frac{N}{-} \cdot \exp \frac{h_{S} - h_{FP}}{2kT}$$

This gives for ci(C)

$$c_{i}(C) = \frac{N}{N} \cdot \frac{\left(\exp \frac{h_{S} - 2h_{FP}}{kT}\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\exp \frac{h_{S} - h_{FP}}{kT}\right)^{1/2}} = \frac{N}{N} \cdot \exp - \frac{h_{FP}}{kT}$$

With this we immediately obtain

$$c_V(C) = \frac{N}{N} \cdot \exp{-\frac{h_{FP}}{2kT}}$$

$$c_V(A) = 0$$

This is so because

$$\frac{N}{m} \cdot \exp \frac{h_{S} - h_{FP}}{kT} >> 1$$

- Contrariwise, if $h_S \ll h_{FP}$, 1 + $N/N \cdot \exp[(h_S h_{FP})/kT] \approx 1$ obtains.
 - Because h_S 2h_{FP} is a large negative number we get

$$c_i(C) = \frac{N}{N} \cdot \exp \frac{h_S - 2h_{FP}}{2kT} \approx 0$$

The expressions for $c_V(C)$ and $c_V(A)$ immediately reduce to the proper equation

$$c_V(C) = c_V(A) = \exp{-\frac{h_S}{2kT}}$$